

# 62304: Medical device software – Software life cycle processes

### Software CPR® Tiered Checklist and Assessment Forms

#### Prepared by Alan Kusinitz

For training, assessment, or implementation support contact Brian Pate at 781-721-2921, or by leaving a message at www.softwarecpr.com

#### 1.0 Purpose

This document is intended as a job aide to assessments for conformance to ANSI/AAMI/IEC 62304 It serves as a checklist and provides space to map the internal process to the standard's requirements. The information collected can be used as a mapping of the internal process to 62304 to aide 3<sup>rd</sup> party conformance assessments.

#### 2.0 Usage

- This job aide should only be applied by those who are knowledgeable about 62304 and its proper interpretation and have an understanding of software engineering and validation principles. Also note that the text is not the full or exact text in the standard.
- A tiered approach to conformance assessment is incorporated into these forms. One can assess at several levels:
  - o Are all required processes established?
  - Are all required tasks and activities performed?
  - Are all documentation requirements met?
  - o Do tasks and deliverables incorporate all required and relevant items (usually by sampling not all in every deliverable)?

A group could conform at one or more levels but not be in full conformance. Or a group could completely conform for maintenance or initial development but not both. These forms are intended to highlight the degree of conformance rather then just provide a straight list of items.

The forms provided can be just used as a checklist with notes taken separately for document and procedure references and comments.

DISCLAIMER: These forms should not be used in place of the standard itself and may have unintended omissions or inaccuracies as well as paraphrased verbiage.



#### www.softwarecpr.com

Main Office: 15148 Springview St Tampa, Florida 33624 USA 781-721-2921

#### Copyright

© Copyright 2008 Crisis Prevention and Recovery, LLC. (CPRLLC), all rights reserved. SoftwareCPR® is a division of Crisis Prevention and Recovery, LLC and the SoftwareCPR® logo is a registered trademark.

SoftwareCPR® authorizes its clients and SoftwareCPR.com subscribers use of this document for internal review and training. Any other use or dissemination of this document is expressly prohibited unless the document is provided to you directly from SoftwareCPR® or you receive the written authorization of SoftwareCPR®.

#### **Legal Disclaimer**

The training document example that follows should only be applied in the appropriate context with oversight by regulatory and software professionals with direct knowledge and experience with the topics presented. The document should not be used as a cookbook or taken literally without knowledgeable evaluation of current interpretations and enforcement.

While SoftwareCPR® attempts to ensure the accuracy of information presented, no guarantees are made since regulatory interpretations and enforcement practices are constantly changing, and are not entirely uniform in their application.

**Disclaimer of Warranties:** The information is provided AS IS, without warranties of any kind. CPRLLC does not represent or warrant that any information or data provided herein is suitable for a particular purpose. CPRLLC hereby disclaims and negates any and all warranties, whether express or implied, relating to such information and data, including the warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose.

# 3.0 Identification and Conclusion

Company/Division/Department/Group:
Project/Product:
<b>Scope/portion of 62304 Assessed</b> (Indicate 62304 included or excluded whichever is the shorter list):
<b>Depth of Assessment</b> (Describe which tiers included and the degree of document review and interviewing):
Performed by:
Analysis and Conclusion:

### 4. High-level Conformance Evaluation

The Procedure/Plan column is to note where the approach or method for the activity is defined. The deliverable/documents column is to note the output of the activity in terms of documents and other deliverables that provide objective evidence that the process and activity was performed. One procedure, plan or document could be referenced multiple times. If all elements of this table are satisfied, one demonstrates conformance with the processes and activities requirements of ANSI/AAMI/IEC 62304. Note that ANSI/AAMI/IEC 62304 also requires specific tasks and these more detailed requirements are not addressed in this table.

The "initially" column indicates whether the initial development was conformant and the "now" column indicates whether the current process is conformant.

Enter NE if the requirement was NOT Evaluated. Enter NA if it is not applicable. These forms can be just used as a checklist with notes taken separately for document and procedure references and comments.

ANSI/AAMI/IEC 62304	Initially (Y, N, NE)	Now (Y, N, NE)	Procedure, Plan Titles	Deliverables/documents	Comments
4.1 Conformance with 13485 or a national					
quality management system or a quality					
management system required by national					
regulation					
4.2 Medical Device Risk Management standard ISO 14971					
4.3 Software safety classification					
5 Software development Process					
5.1.1 Software Development plan or plans.					
5.2 Software Requirements Analysis					
5.3 Software Architectural Design (no Class					
A requirements)					
5.4. Software Detailed Design (no Class A					
requirements)					
5.5 Software Unit Implementation and					
Verification					
5.6 Software integration and integration					
testing (no Class A requirements)					
5.7 SoftwareSystem Testing					
5.8 Software Release					
(C.C. C. M. i. t. D.					
6 Software Maintenance Process					
6.1. Establish Software Maintenance Plan					

(2 P 11 1 1'C 1' 1 1'	<u></u>	1
6.2 Problem and modification analysis		
6.3 Modification Implementation		
7 Software Risk Management Process		
(only Section 7.4.1 is required for Class A)		
7.1 Analysis of software contributing to		
hazardous situations		
7.2 Risk Control Measures		
7.3 Verification of Risk Control Measures		
7.4 Risk Management of Software Changes		
8 Software Configuration Management		
Process		
8.1 Configuration Identification		
8.2 Change Control		
8.3 Configuration Status Accounting		
9 Software Problem Resolution Process		
9.1 Prepare Problem Reports		
9.2 Investigate the problem		
9.3 Advise relevant parties		
9.4 Use Change Control process		
9.5 Maintain records		
9.6 Analyse problems for trends		
9.7 Verify software problem resolution		
9.8 Test documentation contents		

### **Software Safety Classification**

System Class

(A,B,C)

The manufacturer shall assign to each software system a safety class according to the possible effects on the patient, operator, or other people resulting from a hazard to which the software system can contribute. This is documented in the Risk Management file.

- Class A No injury of damage to health is possible
- Class B Non-serious injury is possible
- Class C Death or serious injury is possible

The manufacturer shall also identify safety classifications of each software item or group of items.

Assessor Opinion on Software System Classification

If software items are n	ot all the same, then use this	s as well to examine a sampling of items classified lower than the system classification.
Identify Sampled Soft and highlight any with Classification	ware Item Classifications questionable	Assessor Rationale

### 62304 Processes Detailed Section by Section Checklist

The processes below are required for the safety classifications indicated, unless the manufacturer documents in the Risk Management file a rationale for using a lower classification.

#### UNLESS NOTED EACH CHECKLIST ITEM APPLIES TO ALL SAFETY CLASSES

For items that are outside the scope of the assessment use NE – *not evaluated* – and be clear about the scope of the assessment in any summary report or conclusions.

For items that are not relevant use NA – not applicable – and document your rationale.

**NOTE:** This checklist can be used to evaluate if plans and procedures address all relevant items but for a full assessment results of actual development and maintenance should be evaluated to determine if in practice all conformance was achieved with all items.

### **5 Software Development Process**

#### 5.1 Software development planning

ANSI/AAMI/IEC 62304 Conformity Requirements	Y/ N /NE/ NA	Procedure, Plan, or Document references (If level of detail in section 4 is not considered a sufficient mapping)	Comments
5.1.1 a – e The software development/quality plan(s) addresses:			
a. the processes to be used			
b. the deliverables of the activities and tasks			
c. traceabilty between system requirements, software requirements, software system test and risk control measures.			
d. configuration and change management including SOUP configuration items and software used for development			

e. software problem resolution procedure	
5.1.2 Software development/quality plan(s) get updated	
5.1.3 a. Software development plan(s) references system requirements as inputs	
5.1.3 b. The plan includes or references procedures for coordinating the software development and the design and development validation necessary to meet quality management system requirements.	
5.1.4 Standards, methods and tools defined in plan(s). Class C.	
5.1.5 software integration and software integration test are included in the plan. Including SOUP. Class B, C	
5.1.6 software verification plan(s) include a) deliverables requiring verification, b) the verification tasks required for each life cycle activity, c) the milestones at which deliverables are verified d) acceptance criteria for verification	
5.1.7 Risk management planning is included in the plan(s) and includes risk management related to SOUP.	

5.1.8 Documentation planning is included in the plan(s) and includes the following for documents to be produced during the software development life cycle:  a) Title, name or naming convention b) Purpose c) Intended audience d) Procedures and responsibilities for development, review, approval and modification.	
5.1.9 Plans include CM information including: a. items to be controlled. b. SCM activities and tasks c,d organizational responsibilities for CM e. points when the items are to be placed under formal CM f. when the problem resolution process is to be used.	
5.1.10 Supporting development tools, items or settings are included in CM Class B, C	
5.1.11 Plans require software items are placed under formal CM before they are verified. Class B, C	

# **5.2 Software Requirements Analysis**

Section Conformity Requirements	Y/N/ NE/ NA	Procedure, Plan, or Document references (If level of detail in section 4 is not considered a sufficient mapping)	Comments
5.2.1 software requirements are defined and documented from System Requirements.			
5.2. As appropriate check for the following types of requirements			
5.2.2 a. include Functional and capability requirements			
5.2.2 b. Software system inputs and outputs			
5.2.2 c. Interfaces between the software system and other systems.			
5.2.2 d. Alarms, warnings, operator messages			
5.2.2 e. Security			
5.2.2 f. Usability requirements that are sensitive to human error and training.			
5.2.2 g. Data Definition and database requirements			
5.2.2 h. Installation and acceptance reqs at the operation and maintenance site.			
5.2.2 i. reqs for operation and Maintenance			
5.2.2 j. user documentation required			
5.2.2 k. user maintenance reqs			
5.2.2 l. regulatory reqs such as from performance standards for the device type, regulatory guidance documents for functionality for the device type,			
5.2.3 risk control measures included as reqs. Class B, C			
5.2.4 device risk analysis re-evaluated and updated based on software reqs.			

5.2.5 System requirements updated based on software reqs	
5.2.6 Verify the software requirements	
including that: a) system and risk control reqs	
implemented.	
b) Do not contradict one another	
c) in terms minimizing ambiguity	
d) testable	
e) uniquely identified	
f) are traceable to System requirements	

## **5.3 Software Architectural Design (No Class A requirements)**

Section Conformity Requirements	Y/N/	Procedure, Plan, or Document references	Comments
	NE/	(If level of detail in section 4 is not considered a sufficient	
	NA	mapping)	
5.3.1 Documented software architecture			
including structure and software items.			
Class B, C			
5.3.2 Documented architecture includes the			
interfaces between the software items and			
between software items and external			
components (HW and SW).			
Class B, C			
5.3.3 Functional and performance			
requirements are specified for SOUP items.			
Class B, C			
5.3.4 System hardware and software			
necessary for SOUP items are specified.			
Class B, C			
5.3.5 segregation essential to risk control is			
specified.			
Class C			
5.3.6 Verify and document the architecture			
including that it:			
a) implements system and software and risk			
control reqs			
b) supports internal and external interfaces			
c) supports proper operation of SOUP items			
Class B, C			

# **5.4 Software Detailed Design (No Class A requirements)**

Section Conformity Requirements	Y/N/	Procedure, Plan, or Document references	Comments
	NE/	(If level of detail in section 4 is not considered a sufficient	
	NA	mapping)	
5.4.1 refine the architecture to the software			
unit level.			
Class B, C			

5.4.2 detailed design exists for each software		
unit.		
Class C		
5.4.3 Detailed design exists for the interfaces		
between the software units and between		
software units and external components (hw		
and software).		
Class C		
5.4.4. Verification that the detailed design		
a) implements the software architecture		
b) is free from contradiction with the		
architecture.		
Class C		

# 5.5 Software unit implementation and verification

Section Conformity Requirements	Y/N/	Procedure, Plan, or Document references	Comments
	NE/	(If level of detail in section 4 is not considered a sufficient	
	NA	mapping)	
5.5.1, Implement units (Class A,B,C)			
-			
5.5.2			
-Procedures, methods and strategies exist for			
verifying each software unit.			
-Test procedures evaluated for correctness.			
Class B, C			
5.5.3 Acceptance criteria			
- established for software units prior to			
integration			
- Units met acceptance criteria			
Class B, C			
5.5.4 unit acceptance criteria shall included			
proper event sequence, data and control flow,			
planned resource allocation, fault handling,			
initialization of variables, self diagnosis,			
memory management, memory overflows and			
boundary conditions.			
Class C			

5.5.5 Unit test verification has been performed		
and results documented.		
Class B, C		

# 5.6 Software integration and integration testing (No Class A requirements)

Section Conformity Requirements	Y/N/	Procedure, Plan, or Document references	Comments
	NE/	(If level of detail in section 4 is not considered a sufficient	
	NA	mapping)	
5.6.1 Software units integrated in accordance			
with the integration plan.			
Class B, C			
5.6.2 Verify and record (not testing usually by			
review)			
a) units have been integrated into items and			
the system			
b) hardware and software items have been			
integrated			
Class B, C			
5.6.3 software items have been tested in			
accordance with the integration plan and the			
results are documented.			
Class B, C			
5.6.4 integration testing (NOTE: may be			
combined with system testing) verifies that the			
software item performs as intended			
Class B, C			
5.6.5 integration test procedures shall be			
evaluated for correctness.			
Class B, C			
5.6.6 regression testing to identify defects in			
other units that show up after integration of			
new units			
Class B, C			
5.6.7 Integration test records contain:			
a) the test result including pass/fail			
determinations and a list of anomalies			
b) records to permit repeating the test and			
c) tester identification			
Class B, C			

5.6.8 1	ormal process exists and anomalies	
found	during integration and integration	
testing	are recorded.	
Class	3, C	

# 5.7 Software System Testing (No Class A requirements)

Section Conformity Requirements	Y/N/	Procedure, Plan, or Document references	Comments
	NE/	(If level of detail in section 4 is not considered a sufficient	
	NA	mapping)	
5.7.1 Testing covers all requirements and			
Tests include input stimuli, expected results,			
pass/fail criteria and cover all requirements.			
Note: it is acceptable to combine integration			
and system testing in earlier phases.			
Class B, C			
5.7.2 Anomalies handled using the formal			
problem resolution process.			
Class B, C			
5.7.3 Regression testing after changes and			
perform any relevant risk management			
activities			
Class B, C			
5.7.4 Verified that			
a) verification strategies and test procedures			
are appropriate,			
b) that test procedures trace to software			
requirements,			
c) that all requirements have been tested or			
otherwise verified and			
d) test results meet required pass/fail criteria.			
Class B, C			
5.7.5 Software test records contain			
a. document the test result and anomalies			
b. sufficient records to permit the test to be			
repeated and			
c. identity of the tester.			
Class B, C			

# 5.8 Software Release (For Class A, 5.8.4 is the only required section)

Section Conformity Requirements	Y/N/ NE/ NA	Procedure, Plan, or Document references (If level of detail in section 4 is not considered a sufficient mapping)	Comments
5.8.1 verification is completed and results evaluated before release. Class B, C			
5.8.2 Known residual anomalies are documented. Class B, C			
5.8.3 Known residual anomalies have been evaluated to ensure they do not pose an unacceptable risk. Class B, C			
5.8.4 Versions of the software that are released are documented. Class A, B, C			
5.8.5 The procedure and environment used to build the release version is documented. Class B, C			
5.8.6 All required lifecycle tasks, activities and documentation are complete. Class B, C			
5.8.7 The software, product and configuration items, documentation are archived for a period longer than the life of the device or as specified by relevant regulatory requirements. Class B, C			
<ul> <li>5.8.8 Procedures ensure that released software can be reliably delivered without change or corruption covering:</li> <li>replication</li> <li>media labeling</li> <li>packaging</li> </ul>			
-protection - storage - delivery Class B, C			

#### **6 Maintenance Process**

When planning assessments, it is recommended to assess both new or original development projects and at least one maintenance release.

### 6.1 Establish Software Maintenance Plan (all are for all classes)

Section Conformity Requirements	Y/N/ NE/ NA	Procedure, Plan, or Document references (If level of detail in section 4 is not considered a sufficient mapping)	Comments
6.1 A software maintenance Plan is established. It includes:			
a) procedures for receiving, documenting, evaluating and tracking feedback after release.			
b) criteria for determing whether feedback is considered to a problem.			
c) use of the software risk management process.			
d) use of the formal problem resolution process. (also in 6.2.2)			
e) use of configuration management process			
f) procedures to evaluate and implement upgrades, bug fixes, patches and obsolescence of SOUP.			

### 6.2 Problem and Modification Analysis

Section Conformity Requirements	Y/N /NE /NA	Procedure, Plan, or Document references (If level of detail in section 4 is not considered a sufficient mapping)	Comments
6.2.1.1 feedback on released software products are monitored - within the organization - and from users.		ounteren mapping)	
6.2.1.2 Feedback is documented (as problem reports) and evaluated to determine whether a problem exists. Problem reports include actual or potential adverse events or deviations from specifications.			

<ul><li>6.2.1.3 problem reports are evaluated for safety of released products and whether a change to the released product is needed.</li><li>6.2.2 Problem report process is used to address problems</li></ul>	
6.2.3 Each change request is analyzed for its effect on the organization, released software products and systems with which it interfaces. Class B, C	
6.2.4 Modifications to released software products are evaluated and approved.	
6.2.5 Changes are communicated to users and regulators as required, including:  a) Any problem in released software and the consequences of continued unchanged use. b) The nature of any available changes to released software and how to obtain and install the changes.	

### **6.3 Modification Implementation**

Section Conformity Requirements	Y/N/	Procedure, Plan, or Document references	Comments
	NE/	(If level of detail in section 4 is not considered a	
	NA	sufficient mapping)	
6.3.1 Uses the formal software development			
process or an established maintenance process			
to implement modifications.			
6.3.2 Changed software shall be released			
according to a 5.8 software release process.			
Note: 6.3.2 is for all Safety Classes but 5.8			
and Table A.1 are explicit that 5.8 is not			
required for Class A.			

## 7 Software Risk Management Process (only 7.4.1 applies to Class A software)

## 7.1 Analysis of software contributing to hazardous situations

Section Conformity Requirements	Y/N/	Procedure, Plan, or Document references	Comments
	NE/	(If level of detail in section 4 is not considered a	

	NA	sufficient mapping)	
7.1.1 Software items that could contribute to a hazardous situation are identified.			
Class B, C			
7.1.2 Potential causes of hazardous situations have been identified including:.			
a) Incorrect or incomplete specification of			
functionality			
<ul><li>b) Software defects</li><li>c) Failure or unexpected results from SOUP</li></ul>			
d) Hardware failures or other software defects			
that could result in unpredictable software operation (indirect/common causes)			
e) Reasonably forseeable misuse.			
Class B, C			
7.1.3 If SOUP failure is a potential cause supplier published anomaly lists were			
evaluated for relevance.			
Class B, C			
7.1.4 Potential causes of software items			
contributing to hazards have been documented.			
Class B, C			
7.1.5 The sequence of events that could result in a hazardous situation are documented.			
class B, C			

### 7.2 Risk Control measures

Section Conformity Requirements	Y/N/	l , , , ,	Comments
	NE/	(If level of detail in section 4 is not considered a	
	NA	sufficient mapping)	
7.2.1 Risk control measures have been			
identified for each potential cause.			
Class B, C			
7.2.2 Risk control measures implemented in			
software			
a) are included in software requirements			
b) the items have safety classes consistent			
with the risk being controlled			

### 7.3 Verification of Risk Control Measures

Section Conformity Requirements	Y/N/	Procedure, Plan, or Document references	Comments
	NE/	(If level of detail in section 4 is not considered a	
	NA	sufficient mapping)	
7.3.1 documented verification for all risk			
control measures .			
Class B, C			
7.3.2 Risk control measures in software were			
evaluated to identify any new sequences they			
could cause that could lead to hazards.			
Class B, C			
7.3.3 Documented traceability from			
a) hazardous situation to the software item			
b) software item to specific software cause			
c) software cause to RCM			
d) RCM to verification of RCM			
Class B, C			

## 7.4 Risk Management of Software Changes

Section Conformity Requirements	Y/N/	Procedure, Plan, or Document references	Comments
	NE/	(If level of detail in section 4 is not considered a	
	NA	sufficient mapping)	
7.4.1 Changes to the software are analyzed to			
determine whether:			
a) additional software risk control measures			
are required.			
b) additional potential causes are introduced			
contributing to a hazardous situation			
Class A, B, C			
7.4.2 software changes, including changes to			
SOUP are analyzed to determine if the			
modification could interfere with existing			
RCMs.			
Class B, C			
7.4.3 Risk mgmt activities have been			
performed based on the analysis of the			
changes.			
Class B, C			

## **8 Configuration Management Process**

### 8.1 Configuration Identification (all are for all classes)

Section Conformity Requirements	Y/N/	Procedure, Plan, or Document references	Comments
	NE/	(If level of deail in section 4 is not considered a	
	NA	sufficient mapping)	
8.1.1 Unique identification for configuration			
items and their versions and includes software			
documentation.			
8.1.2 Each SOUP item is identified by title,			
manufacturer, and unique SOUP			
designator/version/patch # etc.			
8.1.3 System configuration documentation			
includes versions for all items			

## 8.2 Change Control (all are for all classes)

Section Conformity Requirements	Y/N/	Procedure, Plan, or Document references	Comments
	NE/	(If level of deail in section 4 is not considered a	
	NA	sufficient mapping)	
8.2.1 Configuration items are changed only in			
response to an approved change request.			
NOTE: Different acceptance processes can be			
defined for different lifecycle phases. Note if			
there are.			
8.2.2 Changes are implemented as specified in			
the change request. Activities that need to be			
repeated as a result of the change have been			
performed.			
8.2.3 Changes are verified including repeating			
any verification that has been invalidated by			
the change.			
8.2.4 Each change request, relevant problem			
report and approval of the change can be			
traced.			

# 8.3 Configuration Status Accounting Tasks (all are for all classes)

Section Conformity Requirements	Y/N/	Procedure, Plan, or Document references	Comments
	NE/	(If level of deail in section 4 is not considered a	
	NA	sufficient mapping)	
8.3 Retrievable records are retained that show			
the history of the controlled configuration			
items including system configuration.			

# 9 Software Problem Resolution Process (all are for all classes)

Section Conformity Requirements	Y/N/ NE/ NA	Procedure, Plan, or Document references (If level of deail in section 4 is not considered a sufficient mapping)	Comments
9.1 Problem reports exist and are classified by Type, Scope and Criticality			
9.2 Problem are investigated a) to determine the cause, b) evaluate the problem's relevance to safety c) investigation results are documented d) change requests are created for actions needing correct or and rationales for taking no action are documented			
9.3 Relevant parties are advised of the existence of the problem, as appropriate.			
9.4 Change requests are approved observing the requirements of the change control process. <b>NOTE:</b> a special process may exist for emergencies and their appropriateness and overuse checked. If none exists consider if the company is prepared to handle an emergency related to the risk of the device.			
9.5 Records of problem reports and their resolution and verification are kept. The Risk Management file is updated as appropriate.			
9.6 Problem reports are analyzed for trends not just individually			

9.7 Resolutions of problems are verified to			
determine whether:			
a) problems are resolved and the problem			
report closed			
b) adverse trends have been reversed			
c) change requests have been implemented in			
all relevant software items and associated			
documents			
d) additional problems have been introduced			
by the changes.			
9.8 Testing and regression testing			
documentation following a fix, includes:			
a. Test results			
b. Anomalies found			
c. Software version tested			
d. Relevant hardware and software test			
configurations			
e. Relevant test tools			
f. Date tested			
g. Identification of the tester.			

#### END OF CHECKLIST

REMEMBER TO REFER TO THE STANDARD ITSELF AS THIS CHECKLIST IS NOT INTENDED TO BE USED IN ISOLATION FROM THE STANDARD OR KNOWLEDGE AND TRAINING IN PROPER INTERPRETATION OF THE STANDARD.